UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,848	03/16/2004	David K. Biegelsen	117364	1293	
65575 OLIFF & BERI	7590 06/05/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3208	350	OLANIRAN, FATIMAT O			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner Art Unit FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN 2614 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN. (3) David Tanner. (2) Vivian Chin. (4) Date of Interview: O2 June 2009. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (2001/0007591) and Kino (3953825). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicants arouments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS NITERY NIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Interview Summary	10/800,848	BIEGELSEN, D	BIEGELSEN, DAVID K.	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN. (3) David Tanner. (4) Date of Interview: 02 June 2009. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference col⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (2001/0007591) and Kino (3953825). Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) ☑ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTER REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THATY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
(1) FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN. (3) David Tanner. (2) Vivian Chin. (4)		FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN	2614		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference color Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (2001/0007591) and Kino (3953825). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F	PTO personnel):			
Date of Interview: 02 June 2009. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Pempei (2001/0007591) and Kino (3953825). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pempei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) <u>FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN</u> .	(3) <u>David Tanner</u> .			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e No.	(2) <u>Vivian Chin</u> .	(4)			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.	Date of Interview: <u>02 June 2009</u> .				
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (2001/0007591) and Kino (3953825). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		t 2)⊡ applicant's representat	ive]		
Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (2001/0007591) and Kino (3953825). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		s e)∐ No.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Identification of prior art discussed: Pompei (2001/000	7591) and Kino (3953825).			
reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of claim 1 with respect to Kino and Pompei was discussed. Also applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to combine were discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Avivian Chin/ Chi	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	d. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□] N/A.		
	reached, or any other comments: The final rejection of applicant's arguments with regards to the motivation to (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the an allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGINTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE II	claim 1 with respect to Kino and combine were discussed. nendments which the examiner no copy of the amendments that iched.) CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE To the last Office action has alreaded. SER OF ONE MONTH OR THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	agreed would rend t would render the HE SUBSTANCE (dy been filed, APF TY DAYS FROM	er the claims claims OF THE PLICANT IS THIS GLATER, TO	
			t Unit 2614		

Application No.

Applicant(s)